# Roo'd FCT/PTO 0 1 JUL ,2004 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY 1 0 / 5 0 1

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

NOONAN, Kevin E. McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF 300 South Wacker Drive Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60606 **ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE** 

APR 0 5 2004

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

29.03.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02-1288

International application No.

PCT/US 03/00768

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

09.01.2003

10.01.2002

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE RESEARCH FOUNDATION et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

## 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

**Authorized Officer** 

Mazzariol, F

Tel. +49 89 2399-7911



# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02-1288	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/US 03/00768	International filing date (day/mon	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 10.01.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or t C07F5/02	ooth national classification and IPC	*
Applicant THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE RES	SEARCH FOUNDATION et a	al.
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the      This REPORT consists of a total	e applicant according to Article 3	
☐ This report is also accompa been amended and are the	nnied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets	of the description, claims and/or drawings which have ets containing rectifications made before this Authority
These annexes consist of a total	of sheets.	
This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:	
I ⊠ Basis of the opinion II □ Priority		
IV 🛛 Lack of unity of invent	tion	nventive step and industrial applicability  rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanat VI	tions supporting such statement red	
	international application on the international application	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	f completion of this report
04.06.2003	29.03	
Name and malling address of the internation preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Bork,	Zed Officer  A-M  one No. +49 89 2399-8311

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/00768

ı.	В				

1.	the	th regard to the <b>elem</b> receiving Office in red d are not annexed to	esponse to	an invitation	under Artici	le 14 are re	eferred to	in this	report a	s "oriail	urnished nally filed
				7		•					
					*		• • •				*
	Des	scription, Pages		0							
	1-3	7	as	originally fil	ed						
		*					· ·				
	Cla	ims, Numbers		•						* '	
	1-1	7	as	originally fil	ed	. *		•			
2.		h regard to the <b>lang</b> guage in which the ir									ority in the
	The	ese elements were a	vailable or f	urnished to t	his Authorit	y in the fol	lowing la	nguage	, wh	ich is:	
		the language of a ti	ranslation fu	rnished for t	he purpose	s of the int	ernationa	al searcl	ı (under	Rule 2	23.1(b)).
		the language of pul	blication of t	he internatio	nal applicat	ion (under	Rule 48	3(b)).	٠	•	:
		the language of a tr Rule 55.2 and/or 55		rnished for t	he purpose:	s of interna	ational pr	eliminar	y exami	nation	(under
3.		h regard to any <b>nucl</b> rnational preliminary								olicatio	n, the
	$\Box$	contained in the inte	ernational a	pplication in	written form	).	9 ,			:	
		filed together with the	he internatio	nal applicati	on in comp	uter reada	ble form.			. •	
		furnished subseque	ently to this A	Authority in v	vritten form.		<i>:</i>				
		furnished subseque	ently to this A	Authority in c	computer re	adable for	m.				
		The statement that in the international	the subsequapplication a	uently furnish as filed has b	ned written : been furnish	sequence led.	listing do	es not g	o beyor	d the c	lisclosure
		The statement that listing has been furn		tion recorded	d in comput	er readable	e form is	identica	I to the	written	sequence
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in t	he cancellati	ion of:					÷.	
		the description,	pages:							•	
		the claims,	Nos.:				•				_
		the drawings,	sheets:								
5.	Ġ	This report has bee been considered to	n establishe go beyond	ed as if (som the disclosu	e of) the an re as filed (F	nendments Rule 70.2(d	s had not c)).	been m	ade, sin	ce they	have
		(Any replacement s report.)	heet contail	ning such an	nendments .	must be re	eferred to	under i	tem 1 ar	nd anne	exed to th
		•									

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/00768

	IV. I	_ack	of un	ity of	inventi	ion
--	-------	------	-------	--------	---------	-----

1.	In r	esponse to the invitation to res	trict or	pay addition	al fees, i	the app	licant ha	is:			
		restricted the claims.							•		
	Ø	paid additional fees.				;	•				
		paid additional fees under pro	otest.				î				
		neither restricted nor paid add	ditional	fees.				•			
2.		This Authority found that the Rule 68.1, not to invite the ap						plied wit	h and ch	ose, acc	ording to
3.	This	s Authority considers that the r	equirer	ment of unity	of inven	ition in	accorda ·	nce with	Rules 13	3.1, 13.2	and 13.
		complied with.								()	
		not complied with for the follo	wing re	easons:	•						A
4.		nsequently, the following parts mination in establishing this re		nternational	applicati	on wer	e the su	bject of i	nternatio	nal preli	minary
		all parts.	•						٠		
		the parts relating to claims No	os								
V.	Rea cita	soned statement under Arti tions and explanations supp	cle 35( porting	2) with rega such stater	rd to no nent	velty, i	inventiv	e step o	r indust	rial app	licability
1.	Stat	ement									
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17	٠					
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17	: :	•				*
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17	•		٠ .			
2.	Cita	tions and explanations			.÷						

see separate sheet



The present application concerns a method for the preparation of complexed diarylborinic acids by the reaction between an alkyl diarylborinate and a complexing agent (claims 1-8), compounds prepared by this method (claims 15,17), a method for the preparation of alkyl diarylborinate compounds by reacting a trialkylborate with a metalloorganic reagent (claims 9-14) and compounds prepared by this method (claim 16).

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: ZHANG, G., YOUJI HUAXUE 1982, 6, pages 409-412
- D2: HAWTHORNE, J.AMER.CHEM.SOC., 1958, vol. 80, pages 4291-4296
- D3: MICHAILOW, W., IZV.AKAD.NAUK SSSR SER.KHIM, 1956, pages 451-453
- D4: PEYTON, J., J.ORGANOMET. CHEM., 1978, vol. 156, pages 101-110
- D5: WO-A-00 75142

## Re Item IV

# Lack of unity of invention

The application, it was considered, is to be seen as made up of the following two separate inventions:

- 1. Claims 1-8,15,17: The preparation of compounds of formula I as described in claims 1-8 and the compounds of formula I as in claims 15,17.
- 2. Claims 9-14,16: The preparation of compounds of formula III as described in claims 9-14 and the compounds of formula III as in claim 16.

In response to the invitation to pay additional examination fee for invention 2, an additional examination fee was paid. Therefore, this written opinion covers the whole claimed subject-matter.

#### Re Item V



Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### Invention 1

- a) Claim 15 refer to known compounds defined by their preparation method. "Product-by-process" claims in general have to be interpreted in an absolute sense, i.e. independently of the process. Therefore, if the novelty of a "product-by-process" claim is at issue, novelty has to be examined and assessed independently of the potential novelty of the process.
- b) D1 (see abstract and on page 410, compounds 5-15 and reaction scheme) discloses the preparation of diarylboron complexes by the reaction between butyl diarylborinates and a complexing agent (ethanolamine and different α-amino acids). The prepared diarylboron complexes have been tested as antitumour compounds. In view of document D1 the subject-matter of claims 1-3,15 does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- c) The compounds disclose in D5 (page 17, lines 16-21; page 38, compound III168; page 39, compound III170; page 43, compounds (1) to (5)) affect the novelty of claims <u>15.17.</u>

In view of documents D1 and D5 the subject-matter of claims 1-8,15 and 17 does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) and 33(3) PCT.

## Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No	Publication date	Filing date	Priority date (valid claim)
Patent No	(day/month/year)	(day/month/year)	(day/month/year)
WO 02/44184	6.06.2002	29.11.2001	30.11.2000
=D6	· ·		

Document **D6** published between the priority date of the present application (9.01.02) and its filing date (9.01.03), will constitutes prior art in the sense of Art.54(2) EPC, in the regional European phase, for presently claimed matter not based upon a valid priority

right.

Moreover, D6 will also constitute prior art under Art. 54(3) EPC in the regional European phase. With regard to the disclosure of D6 (see page 6, line 27 - page 7, line 15 and claim 2), the subject-matter of claim 17 does not meet the requirements of Art. 54(3) EPC.

#### **Invention 2**

- a) Claim 16 refer to known compounds defined by their preparation method. "Product-by-process" claims in general have to be interpreted in an absolute sense, i.e. independently of the process. Therefore, if the novelty of a "product-by-process" claim is at issue, novelty has to be examined and assessed independently of the potential novelty of the process.
- b) D2 discloses the preparation of n-butyl di-(p-chlorophenyl)-borinate (page 4295, lefthand column, last paragraph) and of n-butyl di-(p-tolyl)-borinate (page 4295, right-hand column, 4th paragraph) by the reaction between the corresponding trialkyl borates and a Grignard reagent.
- c) D3 (see page 451, first equation; page 452, last paragraph; page 454, second paragraph and page 455, second paragraph) discloses the preparation of three alkyl diarylborinates by the reaction between the corresponding trialkyl borates and a Grignard reagent.
- d) D4 (page 104, eq. 11 and page 107, last paragraph) discloses the preparation of methyl diphenylborinate by the reaction between trimethylborate with phenylmagnesium bromide with formation of boronic acid, which is further esterified with methanol.

In view of documents D2-D4 the subject-matter of claims 9.11-14 and 16 does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) and 33(3) PCT.

## **Further objections**

# **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

- a) The reference to serial number at page 5, first line should be replaced by reference to the corresponding published document.
- b) If the Applicants wish to incorporate the documents mentioned for instance on page
- 4, last line and on page 5, first line, the disclosure thereof should expressis verbis be included in the description under the conditions set out in the PCT Guidelines C-II, 4.18, or the partial phrase "incorporated herein" should be deleted. The same applies on page 21, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph.
- c) An error seems to have occurred in claim 3, where reference is made to compounds of formula III. Correction should be made according to claim 1 and to the description.
- d) The claims should be renumbering insofar the claim 10 is missing.
- e) The statement in the description on page 21, last paragraph and on page 37 implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different from the area defined by the claims. Said statement should, therefore, be removed in order to bring the description in line with the claims (PCT Guidelines C-III, 4.3a).